

Advanced Research Consortium Peer Review Guidelines: Content Reviews

- Does the site's title and claims about its content and scope match your experience of viewing the site? As a researcher in this field, does the content of this site match your expectations and needs?
- Would this content be as useful in print as it is in its digital format, or does the digital format enhance the content's value as a research tool?
- Does the content rigorously conform to the scholarly requirements for your field?
- Please formulate a few research questions and attempt to answer them using the site under review. Describe how well the site facilitated your research, as well as any problems that you had or gaps that you encountered.

Advanced Research Consortium Peer Review Guidelines: Technical Reviews

- Does the project clearly communicate its technological structures and methodologies?
- Does the project make use of recommended, standard technological formats?
- Does the project provide documentation for use? How useful is this documentation, both for casual users and for those interested in the technical elements of the site?
- Is the project open access or proprietary? Is the source code available for any part of the site?
- Does the project work as expected? How easy is the site to use? What improvements would make the site more easily usable?
- Does the project meet basic standards for accessibility?